#### Annexure-II

#### **PRE-VISIT EVALUATION REPORT**

# <<INSTITUTE NAME>> <<PROGRAMME>> <<VISIT DATE>>

For all accreditation criteria, the findings shall be 'Y' Compliance, 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. No cells are to be left blank. Justification is required for 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. Please provide the justification below the table.

Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non-Compliance
Crirterion-1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational		
Objectives		
Vision of the institute and department should be futuristic		
and the mission helps to achieve.		
Defined PEOs must reflect the career and professional		
accomplishments of the graduates and also measurable		
Program Curriculum and other attributes must contribute to the		
achievement of stated PEO's.		
Administrative system must help in the assessment and		
attainment of PEO's.		
Assessment tools and processes must be appropriate and		
sufficient for measuring the attainment of PEOs.		
The questions in the survey questionnaires must elicit required		
information from the stakeholders to measure the attainment		
of PEOs.		
List the identified documents/evidences which are to be		
verified for evaluating the attainment of PEOs.		T
Criterion-2:Programme Outcomes		
Defined POs must address all the GAs of NBA.		
Established correlations among PEOs, POs and COs must be		
appropriate and meaningful.		
Each PO should be addressed by one or more course outcomes.		
Adapted content delivery methods must contribute in achieving		
COs.		
Course assessment tools and mechanisms must be appropriate		
for measuring the attainment of COs.		
Curriculum improvements brought in must be significant for		
improving the attainment of POs.		
List the identified documents/evidences which are to be		
verified for evaluating the attainment of POs.		T
Criterion-3:Programme Curriculum		
The programme curriculum must satisfy the program specific		
criteria considered.		
The curricular components must contribute to the attainment		
of articulated PEOs and POs.		

Commissions design and development process movet fulfill the	
Curriculum design and development process must fulfill the	
requirements of stakeholders.	
Industry institute interaction must facilitate in achieving POs.	
Criterion-4: Student Performance	
Number of students admitted against the approved intake over	
the 3 academic years must be either maximum or increasing.	
Placement/higher studies information provided must be	
Consistent with SI & API?	
Stated professional activities must be appropriate and sufficient	
in achieving PEO's and PO's.	
List the documents to be verified to assess the programmes	
claim vis-a-vis in placement and higher studies.	
List the documents/evidences to be verified for confirming the	
entries made in SI and API?	
Criterion-5: Faculty Contributions	
Observations made on STR, FQI, Faculty Cadre Ratio, FRP,	
Faculty interactions outside world, and patents filed must	
comply with the programme requirements.	
Programme under accreditation must have faculty competency	
stated in SAR.	
Faculty publications must be located in internet with	
DOI/Publisher and reflecting the entries made in FRP.	
List the documents to be verified to ensure the entries for STR,	
FCR, FQI, FRPI, FRP, FIPR & FIP?	
Criterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support	
Lecture hall, tutorial halls, seminar halls and laboratory etc.,	
stated must satisfy the programme requirements.	
Programme must have qualified and adequate technical staff to	
conduct the laboratory courses.	
Criterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning process	
Number of faculty and their qualifications must be adequate to	
handle the first year courses of all programs offered by the	
institute.	
Laboratories listed in the SAR for first year courses of the	
institute including language laboratory must be sufficient.	
Teaching – Learning process adopted in First year courses as per	
SAR must meet the outcome based education.	
Criterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial	
Resources	
Campus infrastructure, administrative setup, etc., must be as	
per the programme requirements.	
Budget allocation must be adequate to run the programmes	
offered by the institute.	
List the documents/evidences to be verified for confirming the	
entries made in SAR	
Criterion-9: Continuous Improvement	
Newly created facilities in the program must contribute towards	
attainment of PEO's/PO's.	
Overall improvements made for the programme since last	
accreditation must be significant.	

# **Annexure-III**

# **CHAIRPERSON EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

# <<INSTITUTE NAME>> <<PROGRAMME>> <<VISIT DATE>>

#### 1. OVERVIEW

## 1.1 Visit of Information

The visiting team of National Board of Accreditation (NBA) conducted a three day accreditation visit to <insert institution="" name="" of="" university="">, to evaluate <number> programmes</number></insert>
from to
During the visit, the visiting team met with Head of the Institution/Dean The briefing on the institution was given by and on programmes were given by the respective Head of the Departments/Programme Coordinators. The respective programme evaluators also visited the various facilities of the programmes. Apart from comprehensive review of documental evidences pertaining to various accreditation criteria, the visiting team also held meetings and interviews with all the stakeholders such as faculty, staff members, alumni, employers, parents and students.

The visiting team of NBA comprised:

Visiting Team Chairperson	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
<pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>				
Programme Evaluator 1 <name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>				
Programme Evaluator 2	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
<pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	mme title with abbreviation>			
Programme Evaluator 1 <name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>				
Programme Evaluator 2	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
<pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>				
Programme Evaluator 1 <name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>				
Programme Evaluator 2	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
<pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>	mme title with abbreviation>			
Programme Evaluator 1	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
Programme Evaluator 2	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
<pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre><pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre></pre>				
Programme Evaluator 1	<name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>			
Programme Evaluator 2 <name, affiliation,="" contact="" information=""></name,>				

## **1.2 Previous Accreditation Details**

Programme title	First accredited		Last acc	redited
	Number of	With effect	Number of	With effect
	years/Grade	from	years/ Grade	from

#### 1.3 Institutional Information

Name of the Institution/University	
Address	
Year of Establishment	
Name of Head of the Institution/Dean	
Number of Departments/Faculties	
Number of Undergraduate Programmes	
Number of Postgraduate Programmes	
Ph.D degree programmes offering, if applicable	Yes/no
Intake Details : UG/PG/Ph.D	
Number of Teaching faculty	
Number of supporting staff	
Any Special recognition e.g: a prominent public research	
center, strong industry involvement	

# 1.4 Summary of findings

Programme T	itle 1 < Name	of the	programme>
-------------	---------------	--------	------------

Strength: Concern: Weakness: Deficiency:

# **Programme Title 2 < Name of the programme>**

Strength: Concern: Weakness: Deficiency:

# Programme Title 3 < Name of the programme>

Strength: Concern: Weakness: Deficiency:

# Programme Title 4 < Name of the programme>

Strength: Concern:

Weakness:	
Deficiency:	
Programme Title 5 < Name of the programme>	
Strength:	
Concern:	
Weakness:	
Deficiency:	
	Signature of the Chairperson

#### **Annexure-IV**

#### **CHAIRPERSON REPORT**

# <<INSTITUTE NAME>> <<PROGRAMME>> <<VISIT DATE>>

For all accreditation criteria, the findings shall be 'Y' Compliance, 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. No cells are to be left blank. Justification is required for 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. Please provide the justification below the table.

Programme Title < Name of the Programme>

	Prog	ramme Evaluator	Programme Evaluator		
		1	2		
Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	
Crirterion-1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational					
Objectives					
The published PEOs should reflect the professional and					
career accomplishments of graduates and be in line with					
the mission					
Administrative system should be in place to monitor the					
process of attaining PEOs					
There should be documented process to assess the attainment					
of PEOs					
Published PEOs must be achieved through the attainment of					
POs					
There must be a documented and effective process for the					
periodic review and revision of these PEOs					

**Comments of the Chairperson** 

	Prog	ramme Evaluator 1	Programme Evaluator 2	
Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-2:Programme Outcomes				
There must be a documented process in place to measure the attainment of defined POs				
The defined COs must contribute to the attainment of POs				
Attainment of defined POs with respect to the Graduate Attributes (GAs) of NBA				
1. Engineering Knowledge				
2. Problem Analysis				
3. Design/development of solutions				
4. Conduct investigations of complex problems				
5. Modern tool usage				
6. The engineer and society				
7. Environment and sustainability				
8. Ethics				
9. Individual and team work				
10. Communication				
11. Project management and finance				
12. Life-long learning				
Appropriate content delivery and assessment methods/tools should be employed in attainment of POs				
Curricular delivery and assessment methods should be amended based on the attainment of POs				
Each PO must contribute significantly to achieve at least one of the PEOs				
There must be a documented and effective process for the periodic review and revisions of these POs				
Comments of the Chairperson		l	<u> </u>	

Criteria		ramme Evaluator 1	Programme Evaluator 2	
		Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-3:Programme Curriculum				
The structured curriculum must address programme specific criteria				
Components of the curriculum must sufficiently address these defined POs/COs				
Outcomes of the core engineering courses must help the graduate to acquire the professional competence, knowledge and skills.				
The process must have the feature of justifying the requirements for improvement in courses, curriculum, content delivery and assessment methods				
The programme must have the participation/involvement of relevant industries in content delivery and curriculum design.				
Comments of the Chairperson				

		ramme Evaluator 1	Programme Evaluator 2	
Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-4: Student Performance				
Success rate, Academic performance, Placement and Higher Studies and Professional activities which include entrepreneurship initiative, product design, innovations etc., must be in consistent with attained POs and PEOs.				

## **Comments of the Chairperson**

	Prog	ramme Evaluator 1	Programme Evaluator 2	
Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-5: Faculty Contributions				
Faculty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must help the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs				
Research activities of faculty including consultancy and knowledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery and project work towards the attainment of COs and POs				

#### **Comments of the Chairperson**

	Prog	ramme Evaluator 1	Progran	nme Evaluator 2
Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support				
Facilities provided to faculty and students including teaching aids, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, equipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful to enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process towards the attainment of POs				

# Comments of the Chairperson

	Prog	ramme Evaluator 1	Progran	nme Evaluator 2
Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning process				
Academic support units such as basic science/engineering				
laboratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the				
perquisite of core and optional engineering courses				
Teaching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring				
system, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career				
guidance etc., must be functionally in place to impart				
knowledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic				
science, mathematics and engineering.				
Comments of the Chairperson	<u> </u>			•

## Comments of the Chairperson

	Prog	ramme Evaluator 1	Progran	nme Evaluator 2
Criteria		Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial				
Resources				
Campus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library,				
internet, safety equipment's, counselling and emergency				
medical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the				
programme				
Budget allocated and utilized must be adequate to run the				
programme and audited statements must be made available for stakeholders				
Governing body, functional administrative structure, policies				
and procedures, decentralization of power, delegation of				
financial power, grievance redressal mechanism etc., must				
satisfy the requirements/norms of concerned regulatory				
authorities and helpful to progress towards vision.				
Comments of the Chairperson	-			

	Prog	ramme Evaluator 1	Programme Evaluator 2	
Criteria		Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non- Compliance
Criterion-9: Continuous Improvement				
Improvements/attainments in SI, API, STR, FQI, FRP etc., must be appreciable				
Efforts made by the faculty towards continuing education, new facility created and overcoming the shortcomings listed during the last accreditation/since the inception of the programme must be evident				
Comments of the Chairperson				

# Annexure-V

# PROGRAMME EVALUATOR SUMMARY

# <<INSTITUTE NAME>> <<PROGRAMME>> <<VISIT DATE>>

## 1. OVERRVIEW

The visiting team of National Board of Accreditation (NBA) conducted a three day
accreditation visit to <insert institution="" name="" of="" university="">, to evaluate UG programme <name of<="" th=""></name></insert>
the programme> from to The programme of <name of="" programme="" the=""> offered in</name>
A Day 0 meeting was held on at to exchange of findings among
evaluation team members, based on review of Self-Assessment Report (SAR) submitted by
- and the pre-visit evaluation reports. During the Day 0 meeting, a list of queries was consolidated to
seek further clarification and understanding on the programme in addition to the discussion of
identified common issues to all programmes.
During the visit, the visiting team met with Head of the Institution/Dean The
briefing on the institution was given by and on the programme was given by the
respective Head of the Department/Programme Coordinator. The respective programme evaluators
also visited the various facilities, of the programme. Apart from comprehensive review of
documental evidences pertaining to various accreditation criteria, the visiting team also held
meeting and interviews with all the stakeholders such as faculty, staff members, alumni, employers,
parents and students.
The Programme Evaluation Team found a number of deficiencies, related primarily to non-
compliance with criteria, and Further, there are significant weaknesses in
criteria, and
2. GENERAL INFORMATION
The <name of="" programme="" the=""> was first started in The programme is offered as</name>
a full-time programme for four years duration for applicants with qualification The
total number of students in the programme is The total number of faculty members with
the programme is The programme is

#### 3. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

#### **Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives**

The <name of the institution> and the <name of the department/school> have well-defined vision and mission statements. The defined vision statements are futuristic and aspirational and mission statements are the means of attaining the vision. The PEOs are reflecting the professional and career accomplishments of the graduates after 4 to 5 years of graduation and also they are contributing to achieve the vision and mission of the department. Moreover, these PEOs address the needs of the programme stakeholders.

There is no well-defined process and support administrative setup for assessment and evaluation of PEOs. There was no evidence of assessment and evaluation process for assessment of attainment of PEOs. There are no formal mechanisms in place to receive feedbacks from practicing engineers and local industry for evaluating the attainment of PEO. There is no department level industry advisory committee for the programme offered.

The broad curriculum components of the programme are not sufficient to support the achievement of PEOs. There is no process for reviewing and redefining of the PEOs.

The major shortcomings is assessment and Evaluation of PEOs is not there.

Evaluation of Criterion 1 is a prime criterion for outcome based accreditation process. The programme evaluation team found a deficiency in the achievement of this criterion.

#### **Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes**

Programme Outcomes are well defined and they are in line with the NBA graduate attributes. All the POs are helpful to achieve the PEOs. All Course Outcomes are written in higher order blooms taxonomy. These COs are sufficient enough to achieve the Programme Outcomes. The methods of delivery of the courses are not suitable to achieve Course Outcomes as well as Programme Outcomes. There is large gap between the theory and laboratory work. Student projects are not suitable for the attainment of POs. The quality of laboratory experiments is poor.

There was no effective mechanism to assess the attainment of COs and also the documented process vis-à-vis the results of attainment of each PO was not properly illustrated. The faculty interviewed during the accreditation visit was ignorant of the concept of COs and POs. The course assessment tools and mechanisms are not appropriate for measuring the attainment of COs.

The major shortcomings are i) Assessment and Evaluation is not there and ii) Delivery is of courses is not satisfactory

The programme evaluation team determined that the programme has a

deficiency/weakness in demonstrating the achievement of this criterion.

**Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum** 

The curriculum is developed <name of the programme> satisfies the applicable program

criteria specified by < Professional society>. The curriculum documentation is satisfactory with all

the information like prerequisites etc.

Students are required to complete ----- units of credit for graduation. Each course carries --

-- credit units. The final year project carries ---- credit units. Credit exemption is four. The total

number of credits allocated for laboratory are ----- (distribution of theory and laboratory in terms

of percentage).

Core engineering subjects and their relevance to programme outcomes are well illustrated.

There were little evidences provided to demonstrate the industry's participation in the programme .

There was no documented evidence of continuous improvement of courses and curriculum. The

stated industry institute interaction is not facilitating in achieving POs.

The major shortcomings are i) The curriculum components are not suitable for the

attainment of PEOs ii) No industry involvement is in the curriculum design, iii) The content is not

sufficient in some of the courses for the attainment of POs and iv) The design experience is not

sufficient for attaining the Program Outcomes b and c.

The programme evaluation team determined that the programme has a

deficiency/weakness in demonstrating the achievement of this criterion.

**Criterion 4: Students' Performance** 

Student input quality is good and consistent. The success rate of students and academic

performance are good.

The placement and higher studies information provided are not reflecting the attainment of

POs. There is no proper document to assess the genuine of the programmes claim vis-à-vis in

placement and higher studies.

The involvement of students in professional activities is not sufficient for the attainment of

some of the POs defined. The student publications and organization of technical events are also

average. There is no student magazine/news letter in the department for dissemination of various

activities in the department. No innovative product designs/ Projects and entrepreneurship

initiatives are evident by the students in the department.

The major shortcomings are i) Poor placement and higher studies record and ii) No

innovative product design.

**Criterion 5: Faculty Contributions** 

The faculty competencies are not correlating to programme specific criteria defined. But STR is as per the AICTE norms. Faculty cadre ratio is not as per the AICTE norms. Faculty qualifications are average. Faculty participations in faculty development and training activities are poor. Faculty retention is average. No IPRs and no funded R&D projects. Faculty interaction with outside world is poor.

The major shortcomings are i) Competencies of faculty are not suitable to PSC and ii) Poor R&D

## **Criterion 6: Facilities and Technical Support**

Lecture halls, tutorial halls, seminar halls, laboratories and other teaching facilities are generally adequate to support the basic needs of the programme. However, the collection of books and reference materials in the department library is good. Laboratory facility may be further improved and to allow more accessibility to students.

It is noted that inadequate qualified technical supporting staff are there for programspecific laboratories.

The major shortcomings are i) Laboratory facilities needs improvement and ii) Inadequate qualified technical staff

#### **Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process**

The number of faculty members and their qualifications are adequate to handle the first year courses of all programmes offered by the institute. The laboratory facilities for first year courses of the institute are sufficient. However, the language laboratory may further be improved.

There is no scope for self-learning in the teaching learning process adopted in first year courses. The provision for tutorial classes is not in time table. There is no evidence of feedback mechanism and remedial classes. The central computing facilities need improvement.

The major shortcomings are i) Feedback mechanism is not there, ii) Remedial classes are not conducted for weak students and iii) Quality of First year lab experiments is poor

#### **Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial Resources**

All committees are constituted as per the statutory bodies regulations. Institutional support system is adequate for the programme. The financial resources to support the program and department are sufficient. But there is no proper mechanism in the institution for budget proposal, approval and procurement.

The major shortcomings is Poor budget planning mechanism

#### **Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement**

The improvement in the success index, academic performance and STR is good. But improvement in faculty qualification index is average. No improvement is seen in R&D. Continuing education activities are very less.

The newly created facilities in the programme are not contributing to attain of POs.

Overall improvements made for the programme since last accreditation are poor.

The major shortcomings are i) No improvement in the faculty qualifications and ii) R&D improvement is poor

#### 4. AREAS FOR IMPROVEMENTS

- a) PEO and PO assessment and evaluation process need to be defined and implemented
- b) Continuous improvement based on the assessment of PEOs, POs and COs
- c) Feedback and follow up action mechanism need improve teaching and learning
- d) Use modern teaching aids
- e) Course delivery needs improvement

#### 5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The NBA Evaluation Team would like to thank <name of the institute> for their cooperation for smooth conducting of evaluation.

#### 6. PROGRAMME EVALUATION FORM

The observations of the NBA Evaluation Team for consideration of the Programme relating to various criteria are contained in Appendix 1: Programme Evaluation worksheet – A and Appendix 2: Programme Evaluation worksheet - B

# **Annexure -VI**

## PROGRAMME EVALUATION WORK SHEET – PART A

#### Institution

Name of institution/university			
Name of affiliating university			
(if applicable)			
Address with Phone and email			
Programme for accreditation			
Name of the programme			
(as it appears on graduate's certificate)			
Abbreviation of Programme			
Name of the Department/School			
Year of starting of the programme			
Expiry date of last accreditation			
(if applicable)			
Evaluation Team			
Name, designation and affiliation of Visiting Team C	Chairperson		
Name, designation and affiliation of Programme Eva	aluator 1		
Name, designation and affiliation of Programme Eva	aluator 2		
Dates of the present Accreditation Visit			
	·	 	 

For all accreditation criteria, the findings shall be 'Y' Compliance, 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. No cells are to be left blank. Justification is required for 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. Please provide the justification below the table.

Criteria	Compliance	Observation and remarks for Non-Compliance
Crirterion-1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational		
Objectives		
The published PEOs should reflect the professional and		
career accomplishments of graduates and be in line with		
the mission		
Administrative system should be in place to monitor the process of attaining PEOs		
There should be documented process to assess the attainment of PEOs		
Published PEOs must be achieved through the attainment of POs		
There must be a documented and effective process for the		
periodic review and revision of these PEOs		
Criterion-2:Programme Outcomes		
There must be a documented process in place to measure the		
attainment of defined POs		
The defined COs must contribute to the attainment of POs		
Attainment of defined POs with respect to the Graduate		
Attributes (GAs) of NBA		
1. Engineering Knowledge		
2. Problem Analysis		
3. Design/development of solutions		
4. Conduct investigations of complex problems		
5. Modern tool usage		
6. The engineer and society		

7. Environment and sustainability	
8. Ethics	
9. Individual and team work	
10. Communication	
11. Project management and finance	
12. Life-long learning	
Appropriate content delivery and assessment methods/tools should be employed in attainment of POs	
Curricular delivery and assessment methods should be amended based on the attainment of POs	
Each PO must contribute significantly to achieve at least one of the PEOs	
There must be a documented and effective process for the periodic review and revisions of these POs	
Criterion-3:Programme Curriculum	
The structured curriculum must address programme specific criteria	
Components of the curriculum must sufficiently address these defined POs/COs	
Outcomes of the core engineering courses must help the graduate to acquire the professional competence, knowledge and skills.	
The process must have the feature of justifying the requirements for improvement in courses, curriculum, content delivery and assessment methods	
The programme must have the participation/involvement of relevant industries in content delivery and curriculum design.	

cities and Professional activities which include trepreneurship initiative, product design, innovations etc., ust be in consistent with attained POs and PEOs.  iterion-5: Faculty Contributions  cutly strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must lip the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs search activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery did project work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching is, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching — learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess addemic support units such as basic science/engineering boratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the requisite of core and optional engineering courses aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and incluciate skills and attitudes in relation to basic lence, mathematics and engineering.  Iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial information in the properties of the			
udies and Professional activities which include trepreneurship initiative, product design, innovations etc., ust be in consistent with attained POs and PEOs.  iterion-5: Faculty Contributions  culty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must light be graduates to attain the defined COs and POs disearch activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery did project work towards the attainment of COs and POs diterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching is, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching — learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess  cademic support units such as basic science/engineering oratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the requisite of core and optional engineering courses and inglearning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idiance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering. iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial isources  unpus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Criterion-4: Student Performance		
trepreneurship initiative, product design, innovations etc., ust be in consistent with attained POs and PEOs.  iterion-5: Faculty Contributions  culty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must lip the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs search activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery diproject work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  dilities provided to faculty and students including teaching dis, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning occess  ademic support units such as basic science/engineering corratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idiance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic lence, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial scources  mpus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Success rate, Academic performance, Placement and Higher		
ust be in consistent with attained POs and PEOs.  iterion-5: Faculty Contributions  culty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must lip the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs search activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery diproject work towards the attainment of COs and POs diproject work towards the attainment of COs and POs diterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching dis, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uluipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POS diterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning occess  addemic support units such as basic science/engineering corracines, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the projusite of core and optional engineering courses and in correct and optional engineering courses and ingle learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idiance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic lence, mathematics and engineering. Iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial iscources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme			
iterion-5: Faculty Contributions  culty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must elep the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs escarch activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery diproject work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching els, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching — learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess addemic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the projection of the pro	entrepreneurship initiative, product design, innovations etc.,		
culty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must lp the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs search activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery d project work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching ls, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess ademic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the enquisite of core and optional engineering courses arching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic lence, mathematics and engineering.  Iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial sources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	must be in consistent with attained POs and PEOs.		
In the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs search activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery of project work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching ds, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, juipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning occess addemic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the requisite of core and optional engineering courses acidning learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial isources  mpus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Criterion-5: Faculty Contributions		
search activities of faculty including consultancy and owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery did project work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching ds, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, juipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess  addemic support units such as basic science/engineering corrects. In a support of the programme of the control o	Faculty strength, qualification, cadre and competencies must		
owledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery d project work towards the attainment of COs and POs iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching ds, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, juipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess  ademic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial isources  impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency dedical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	help the graduates to attain the defined COs and POs		
iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching das, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, juipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs literion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess  academic support units such as basic science/engineering process landemic support units such as basic science/engineering process academic support units such as basic science/engineering process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career ididance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial issources  impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Research activities of faculty including consultancy and		
iterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support  cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching ds, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, uipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching — learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess addemic support units such as basic science/engineering coratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the requisite of core and optional engineering courses aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering. iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial issources impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	knowledge transfer must contribute for better content delivery		
cilities provided to faculty and students including teaching ds, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, juipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess rademic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the requisite of core and optional engineering courses raching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  Iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Interion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	and project work towards the attainment of COs and POs		
ds, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories, juipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess addemic support units such as basic science/engineering coractories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the enquisite of core and optional engineering courses aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering. Iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial isources Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Criterion-6: Facilities and Technical Support		
nuipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful enhance and innovate the teaching — learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess rademic support units such as basic science/engineering coratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the proquisite of core and optional engineering courses raching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering.  Iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial insources raching in the properties of the programme in the programme in the programme in the process reaching process.	Facilities provided to faculty and students including teaching		
enhance and innovate the teaching — learning process wards the attainment of POs iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess addemic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career ididance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering. iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial isources impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	aids, lecture halls, faculty rooms, engineering laboratories,		
wards the attainment of POs  iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess  addemic support units such as basic science/engineering coratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses arching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	equipment etc. and competent technical staff must be helpful		
iterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning ocess  cademic support units such as basic science/engineering coratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses caching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	to enhance and innovate the teaching – learning process		
addemic support units such as basic science/engineering coratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses arching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	towards the attainment of POs		
addemic support units such as basic science/engineering poratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the properties and optional engineering courses are aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Criterion-7: Academic Support and Teaching and Learning		
coratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the erquisite of core and optional engineering courses raching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	process		
erquisite of core and optional engineering courses lacking learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career lidance etc., must be functionally in place to impart lowledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic lience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial lesources limpus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, leternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency ledical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the loggramme	Academic support units such as basic science/engineering		
aching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	laboratories, language laboratory, etc., must fulfill the		
stem, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic idence, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	perquisite of core and optional engineering courses		
idance etc., must be functionally in place to impart owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Teaching learning process including tutorial classes, mentoring		
owledge and inculcate skills and attitudes in relation to basic ience, mathematics and engineering.  iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	system, feedback analysis, scope for self-learning, career		
iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	-		
iterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial esources  Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	_		
esources Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	science, mathematics and engineering.		
Impus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library, sternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Criterion-8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financial		
ternet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Resources		
edical care facilities must satisfy the requirements of the ogramme	Campus Infrastructure and other related facilities as library,		
ogramme	internet, safety equipment's, counseling and emergency		
	medical care facilities — must satisfy the requirements of the		
idget allocated and utilized must be adequate to run the	programme		
	Budget allocated and utilized must be adequate to run the		

programme and audited statements must be made available for stakeholders	
Governing body, functional administrative structure, policies and procedures, decentralization of power, delegation of financial power, grievance redressal mechanism etc., must satisfy the requirements/norms of concerned regulatory authorities and helpful to progress towards vision.	
Criterion-9: Continuous Improvement	
Improvements/attainments in SI, API, STR, FQI, FRP etc., must be appreciable	
Efforts made by the faculty towards continuing education, new facility created and overcoming the shortcomings listed during the last accreditation/since the inception of the programme must be evident.	

#### PROGRAMME EVALUATION WORKSHEET - B

Programme Name: _	
-------------------	--

For all accreditation criteria, the findings shall be 'Y' Compliance, 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. No cells are to be left blank. Justification is required for 'C' Concern, 'W' Weakness and 'D' Deficiency. Please provide the justification below the table.

Crite	rion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational	Object	ives					
		Poi	nts	Com	pliance	Non Co	mpliance	
No.	Criteria	Max. Points	Points Awarded	Without	With	Weakness	Deficiency	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
1.1	Mission and Vision	5			all level	of compli	ance for	
	1.1.1 Vision and Mission statements of the Institute and Department	1						
	1.1.2 Publishing and Dissemination of Vision and Mission statements	2						
	1.1.3 Process for defining Vision and Mission of the department	2						
1.2	Programme Educational Objectives	15			all level	of compli 1.2>	ance for	
	1.2.1 Description of PEOs	2						
	1.2.2 Publishing and Dissemination of PEOs	2						
	1.2.3 Stakeholders of the programme and their relevance	1						
	1.2.4 Process for establishing the PEOs	5						
	1.2.5 Consistency of PEOs with Mission	5						
1.3	Attainment of Programme Educational	20				of compli	ance for	
	Objectives			sub-cı	riterion	1.3>		

	1.3.1 Justifications for contributions of programme curriculum towards attainment of PEOs	10							
	1.3.2 Administrative system helps in ensuring the attainment of the PEOs	10							
1.4	Assessment of attainment of Programme Educational Objectives	25				level of erion 1.4		nce for	
	1.4.1 Tools and Processes used in assessment of the attainment of the PEOs	10							
	1.4.2 Evidences for the attainment of the PEOs	15							
1.5	Results of assessment of achievement of PEOs	10		<(	overall	level of	complia	nce for	
	used for redefining PEOs			SU	ıb-crite	erion 1.5	>		
Crite	ion 2: Programme Outcomes								
		Pc	oints		Com	pliance	Non C	ompliance	
No.	Criteria	Max.	Points	Points	Without	With	Weakness	Deficiency	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
2.1	Definition and Validation of Course Outcomes and Programme Outcomes	25				all level		liance for	
	2.1.1 List COs and POs	2							
	2.1.2 Publishing and Dissemination of POs	3							
	2.1.3 Process employed for defining of the POs	5							
	2.1.4 POs alignment with NBA Graduate Attributes	10							
	2.1.5 Establishment of the correlation between the POs and PEOs	5							
2.2	Attainment of Programme Outcomes	40				all level riterion 2		liance for	
	2.2.1 Illustration of COs contribution to the POs	10							
	2.2.2 Description of modes of course delivery helping in attainment of the POs	10							
	2.2.3 Indication of tools used to assess the impact of delivery of course/course content contribute	10							

	towards the attainment of COs/POs									
	2.2.4 Justification for the extent to which the	10								
	laboratory and project work contributing towards									
	the attainment of the POs									
2.3	Assessment of attainment of Programme	75							oliance for	
	Outcomes			SU	ıb-	criterior	1 2	3>		
	2.3.1 Description of assessment tools and	25								
	processes used for assessing the attainment of									
	each PO									
	2.3.2 Results of attainment of each POs	50								
2.4	Use of assessment results towards improvement	10							oliance for	
	of programme			SL	ıb-	criterior	1 2.4	4>		
Crite	rion 3: Programme Curriculum	·		6.		P			1	
		Poi	nts	Cor	np	liance			mpliance	0.6
NI-	Cuitania	. ,	. s	ţ	Z	ے 3	Weakness		ncy	Brief statement detailing evidence used to
No.	Criteria	Max.	Points	Without	concern	With	l k	2	Deficiency	determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
		-	Ā	Š	S	<b>&gt;</b> 0	M M	) <b>&gt;</b>	Def	C, W OI D
3.1	Curriculum	15		<0V6	ora	II level c	of co	nmnlia	ince for	
3.1	Carriculan	13				terion 3			ince for	
	3.1.1 Description of the Structure of the	5								
	Curriculum									
	3.1.2 Prerequisite flow chart of courses	5								
	3.1.3 Justification for the programme curriculum	5								
	satisfying the programme specific criteria									
3.2	Curriculum components and relevance to	15				II level c		omplia	ince for	
	Programme Outcomes and Programme			sub-	cri	terion 3	.1>			
	Educational Objectives									
3.3	Core engineering courses and their relevance to	30				Il level c			ince for	
	Programme Outcomes including design			sub-	cri	terion 3	.1>			
	experience						_			
3.4	Industry interaction/internship	10				Il level c		omplia	ince for	
2.5		4.5		sub-	cri	terion 3	.1>			
3.5	Details of the processes used to identify the	15								

	curricular gaps to the attainment of the COs/POs								
3.6	Details of the content beyond syllabus imported	35							
	to attain the COs/POs								
3.7	Course Syllabi	5							
Criter	ion 4: Students' Performance								
		Poi	nts	Com	pliance	N	on Com	pliance	
No.	Criteria	Max.	Points	Without	With	Weakness.	Wedkiless	Deficiency	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
4.1	Success rate	30							
4.2	Academic performance	20							
4.3	Placement and higher studies	30							
4.4	Professional activities	20			all level riterion		omplian	ce for	
	4.4.1 Professional societies / chapters and	4							
	organising engineering events								
	4.4.2 Organisation of paper contests, design contests, etc. and achievements	4							
	4.4.3 Publication of technical magazines, newsletters, etc.	4							
	4.4.4 Entrepreneurship initiatives, product designs, and innovations	4							
	4.4.5 Publications and awards in inter-institute events by students of the programme of study	4							
Criter	ion 5: Faculty Contributions							1	
		Po	oints	Con	npliance	e I	Non Con	npliance	
No.	Criteria	Max.	Points	Without	With	כסווכבווו	Weakne ss	Deficienc '	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
5.1	Student-teacher ratio	20							
5.2	Faculty cadre ratio	20							

5.3	Faculty qualifications	30						
5.4	Faculty competencies correlation to Programme Specific Criteria	15						
5.5	Faculty as participants/resource persons in faculty development/training activities	15						
5.6	Faculty retention	15						
5.7	Faculty research publications	20						
5.8	Faculty intellectual property rights	10						
5.9	Faculty R&D and Consultancy (FRDC) work	20						
5.10	Faculty interaction with outside world	10						
Crite	ion 6: Facilities and Technical Support		1		1		<u> </u>	
		Poi	nts	Com	pliance	Non Co	mpliance	
No.	Criteria	Max.	Points	Without	With	Weakness	Deficiency	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
6.1	Classrooms	30			all level of the control of the cont	•	ance for	
	6.1.1 Adequate number of rooms for lectures (core/electives), seminars, tutorials, etc., for the program	10						
	6.1.2 Teaching aidsmultimedia projectors, etc.	15						
	6.1.3 Acoustics, classroom size, conditions of chairs/benches, air circulation, lighting, exits, ambience, and such other amenities/facilities	5						

6.2	Faculty rooms	20				of complia	ance for	
				sub-cr	iterion 6	.2>		
	6.2.1 Availability of individual faculty rooms	5						
	6.2.2 Room equipped with white/black board,	10						
	computer, Internet, and such other							
	amenities/facilities							
	6.2.3 Usage of room for counselling/discussion	5						
	with students							
6.3	Laboratories including computing facility	60				of complia	ance for	
				sub-cr	iterion 6	.3>		
	6.3.1 Adequate, well-equipped laboratories to	20						
	meet the curriculum requirements and the POs							
	6.3.2 Availability of computing facilities in the	15						
	department							
	6.3.3 Availability of laboratories with technical	15						
	support within and beyond working hours							
	6.3.4 Equipment to run experiments and their	10						
	maintenance, number of students per							
	experimental setup, size of the laboratories,							
	overall ambience, etc.							
6.4	Technical manpower support	15		<over< td=""><td>all level o</td><td>of complia</td><td>ance for</td><td></td></over<>	all level o	of complia	ance for	
				sub-cr	iterion 6	.4>	•	
	6.4.1 Availability of adequate and qualified	10						
	technical supporting staff for programme-specific							
	laboratories							
	6.4.2 Incentives, skill-upgrade, and professional	5						
	advancement							
Crite	rion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learni	ng Pro	cess					
		Poi	nts	Com	oliance	Non Co	mpliance	
				ے بـ	_	SS	5	Brief statement detailing evidence used to
No.	Criteria	×.	nts	nou	th Serr	kne	ienc	determine
		Max.	Points	Without	With	Weakness	Deficiency	'C', 'W' or 'D'
				> ŭ	ŭ	>	ă	
7.1	Academic Support Units	35		<over< td=""><td>all level o</td><td>of complia</td><td>ance for</td><td></td></over<>	all level o	of complia	ance for	

	T	1	I					1
				sub-c	riterion 7	7.1>	1	
	7.1.1 Assessment of First Year Student Teacher	10						
	Ratio (FYSTR)							
	7.1.2 Assessment of Faculty Qualification	15						
	Teaching First Year Common Courses							
	7.1.3 Basic science/engineering laboratories	8						
	(adequacy of space, number of students per							
	batch, quality and availability of measuring							
	instruments, laboratory manuals, list of							
	experiments)							
	7.1.4 Language laboratory	2						
7.2	Teaching – Learning Process	40		<over< td=""><td>all level</td><td>of compli</td><td>ance for</td><td></td></over<>	all level	of compli	ance for	
				sub-c	riterion 7	'. <b>2</b> >		
	7.2.1 Tutorial classes to address student	5						
	questions: size of tutorial classes, hours per							
	subject given in the timetable							
	7.2.2 Mentoring system to help at individual	5						
	levels							
	7.2.3 Feedback analysis and reward / corrective	5						
	measures taken, if any							
	7.2.4 Scope for self-learning	5						
	7.2.5 Generation of self-learning facilities, and	5						
	availability of materials for learning beyond							
	syllabus							
	7.2.6 Career Guidance, Training, Placement, and	5						
	Entrepreneurship Cell							
	7.2.7 Co-curricular and Extra-curricular Activities	5						
	7.2.8 Games and Sports, facilities, and gualified	5						
	sports instructors							

Criteri	on 8: Governance, Institutional Support and Financia	al Reso	urce	S				
		Poin	ts	Com	pliance	Non Co	mpliance	
No.	Criteria	Max. Points	Points	Without	With concern	Weakness	Deficiency	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
8.1	Campus Infrastructure and Facility	10				of complia	ance for	
				sub-cı	riterion 8	3.1>		
	8.1.1 Maintenance of academic infrastructure and facilities	4						
	8.1.2 Hostel (boys and girls), transportation facility, and canteen	2						
	8.1.3 Electricity, power backup, telecom facility, drinking water, and security	4						
8.2	Organisation, Governance, and Transparency	10			all level of	of complia	ance for	
	8.2.1 Governing body, administrative setup, and functions of various bodies	2						
	8.2.2 Defined rules, procedures, recruitment, and promotional policies, etc.	2						
	8.2.3 Decentralization in working including delegation of financial power and grievance redressal system	3						
	8.2.4 Transparency and availability of correct/unambiguous information	3						
8.3	Budget Allocation, Utilisation, and Public Accounting	10			all level of the state of the s	of complia	ance for	
	8.3.1 Adequacy of budget allocation	4						
	8.3.2 Utilisation of allocated funds	5						
	8.3.3 Availability of the audited statements on the institute's website	1						
8.4	Programme Specific Budget	10			all level of the state of the s	of complia	ance for	

	Allocation, Utilisation							
		5						
	8.4.1 Adequacy of budget allocation 8.4.2 Utilisation of allocated funds	5						
		+			C 1:			
8.5	Library	20			of complia	ance for		
		_	Sub-0	riterion 8	3.5>	1		
	8.5.1 Library space and ambience, timings and	5						
	usage, availability of a qualified librarian and							
	other staff, library automation, online access,							
	networking, etc.							
	8.5.2 Titles and volumes per title	4						
	8.5.3 Scholarly journal subscription	3						
	8.5.4 Digital Library	3						
	8.5.5 Library expenditure on books,	5						
	magazines/journals, and miscellaneous contents							
8.6	Internet	5						
8.7	Safety Norms and Checks	5	<ove< td=""><td>rall level</td><td>of complia</td><td>ance for</td><td></td><td></td></ove<>	rall level	of complia	ance for		
0.7			sub-c	riterion 8	3.7>			
	8.7.1 Checks for wiring and electrical installations	1						
	for leakage and earthing							
	8.7.2 Fire-fighting measurements	1						
	8.7.3 Safety of civil structure	1						
	8.7.4 Handling of hazardous chemicals and such	2						
	other activities							
0.6	Counselling and Emergency Medical	5						
8.8	Care and First-aid							
	8.8.1 Availability of counselling	1						
	facility							
	8.8.2 Arrangement for emergency	2						
	· .	_						
	medical care							
	8.8.3 Availability of first-aid unit	2						

Criteri	on 9: Continuous Improvement							
		Poi	nts	Com	pliance	Non Con	npliance	
No.	Criteria	Max.	Points	Without	With concern	Weakness	Deficiency	Brief statement detailing evidence used to determine 'C', 'W' or 'D'
9.1	Improvement in Success Index of Students	5						
9.2	Improvement in Academic Performance Index of Students	5						
9.3	Improvement in Student-Teacher Ratio	10						
9.4	Enhancement of Faculty Qualification Index	10						
9.5	Improvement in Faculty Research Publications, R&D Work and Consultancy Work	20						
9.6	Continuing Education	10						
9.7	New Facility Created	20						
9.8	Overall Improvements since last accreditation, if any, otherwise, since the commencement of the programme	20						

Prepared and submitted by Programme Evaluation Team

**Chairperson Name & Signature** 

**Evaluator 1 Name & Signature** 

**Evaluator 2 Name & Signature** 

# Declaration of Conformity with evaluator's report by the Team Chair

I agree with the observations of the program evaluators on each criterion.	YES NO
If no, kindly mention the reasons thereof:	
Signature	
(Chairperson)	

# **Annexure -VII**

# **DAY-WISE PROGRAMME AUDIT SHEETS**

<<INSTITUTE NAME>>
<<PROGRAMME>>
<<VISIT DATE>>

# Day 1

Time	Programme Evaluator	Remarks and Observations
07:00 - 08:00	Breakfast at Hotel	
08:00 - 09:00	Move to the University/ Institute	
09:00 - 09:15	NBA visiting team to be received by University/Institutional representatives	
09:15 - 10:15	Presentation on University/Institution by dean/head of the institution	
	Overview on governance, organizational structure, academic infrastructure	
	<ul> <li>Institutional financial resources and their effective utilization for continuous quality improvement</li> </ul>	
	Academic support units and their contributions to the programs	
	<ul> <li>Overview on recent developments in education delivery, mentoring and learning facilities</li> </ul>	
	Q&A on the issues common to all Programmes	
	Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	
	Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process	
10:15 - 11:15	Tour of basic science and engineering laboratories, language laboratory and career	
	guidance facilities by Team A*	
	Tour of library, hostel facilities, sports facilities and other amenities by Team B*	
	Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources	
	Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process	
	*Team A and Team B are constituted by TC with one PE from each program and TC	
	can be member of any team	

11:30 – 12:00	Observe lecture and tutorial in progress	
	Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes	
	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum	
12:00 – 13:00	Presentation on Department Overview and UG () programme by Head of the Department / Programme Coordinator  • Programme Educational Objectives, participation of constituents, level of implementation  • Overview on Course content delivery, Course outcome assessment and evaluation methods	
	<ul> <li>Overview on Assessment and Evaluation of Programme Outcomes</li> <li>Curriculum design and revision, and Programme specific criteria</li> <li>Academic performance of students, participation in professional activities and their achievements</li> <li>Faculty development and research activities</li> <li>Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives</li> </ul>	
	Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum Criterion 4: Students' Performance	
	Criterion 5: Faculty Contributions	
13:00 – 14:00	Lunch	
14:00 – 15:00	Tour of laboratory facilities, computing facilities, department library etc. relevant to the programme Interview with concerned faculty / staff to evaluate:  • The laboratory facilities to conduct the curricular practical courses  • Availability of adequate technical supporting staff  • Adequacy of well-furnished lecture/tutorial/seminar halls to run the programme  Criterion 6: Facilities and Technical Support	
15:00 – 15:30	Review of Final year project report to evaluate their relevance to Programme Outcomes  Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes	
15:45 – 16:30	Interview with students to evaluate,  • effectiveness of Content delivery and assessment methods  • participation in professional society activities / Club activities	

	Any other issues identified by the PEs related accreditation criteria
	Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes
	Criterion 4: Students' Performance
16:30 - 18:00	Meeting with Programme Coordinator, Course Coordinator etc.
	<ul> <li>Evaluation of Content delivery methods and Course outcomes towards attainment of POs</li> </ul>
	Improvements in the course content, delivery and assessment methods
	based on level of attainment of COs and POs
	Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes
	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum
18:00 - 19:00	Move back to Hotel
19:00 - 20:30	Team Dinner
20:30 – 22:00	Team meeting: Chaired by TC at Hotel
	Exchange and discuss about the issues of Day 1 evaluation
	Discussion between PEs and TC to maintain consistency across all
	programmes
	Submit Day 1 draft evaluation report to TC

# Day 02

Time	Programme Evaluator	Remarks and Observations
07:00 - 08:00	Breakfast at Hotel	
08:00 - 09:00	Move to the University/ Institute	
09:00 - 09:15	NBA visiting team to be received by Head of the Department/Programme Coordinator	
09:15 - 10:45	Interview with faculty members to evaluate:	
	Faculty competency against programme specific criteria	
	Updating of faculty domain knowledge	
	Faculty research, consultancy and Knowledge transfer	
	<ul> <li>Documents pertained to faculty profile, faculty contributions etc.</li> </ul>	
	Any other issues identified by the PEs related accreditation criteria	
	Criterion 5: Faculty Contributions	
	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvements	
10:45-11:30	Meeting with programme coordinator/Head of the Department	
	Documents pertained to student academic performance, student	
	accomplishments etc.	

	Criterion 4: Students' Performance	
	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvements	
11:45-12:30	Interview with faculty/Board of studies /advisory board to evaluate	
	Level of involvement of stakeholders in the programme development	
	Consistency of PEOs with the mission of the department	
	Level of Contributions of industry to programme	
	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives	
	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum	
12:30-01:00	Interview with sampled students (academic performance) to evaluate,	
	Level of attainment of knowledge skills and attitudes	
	Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes	
	Criterion 4: Students' Performance	
13:00 – 14:00		
14.00- 14.45	Meeting with Alumni of the programme	
	(graduates considered for the attainment of PEOs) to evaluate:	
	level of participation in the programme after the graduation	
	level of attainment of PEOs	
	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives	
14.45-16.30	Meeting with Programme Coordinator/Head of the Department to evaluate:	
	Check on remediation of shortcomings/improvements from previous	
	accreditation visit	
	Appropriateness of assessment tools used for POs and PEOs	
	Level of attainment of POs and PEOs	
	Check all the documents and evidences relevant to the attainment of POs and	
	PEOs	
	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement	
	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives Criterion 2:	
46 20 47 20	Programme Outcomes	
16.30-17.30	Private meeting of PEs	
	Discussion among PEs for summarizing the observations made during      Discussion and day 2 via 2 via 2 via 2 via 2 via 3 via 2 via 3	
17 20 10 00	evaluation of day-1 and day-2 vis-à-vis accreditation criteria	
17.30-18.00	Meeting with programme coordinator/Head of the Department for any further clarifications	
10:00 10:00		
18:00 – 19:00		
19:00 – 20:30	Team Dinner	

20:30 – 22:00	Team meeting: Chaired by TC at Hotel	
	<ul> <li>Exchange and discuss about the issues of Day 2 evaluation</li> </ul>	
	Discussion between PEs and TC to maintain consistency across all programmes	
	Submit Day 2 draft evaluation report to TC	

Time	Programme Evaluators	Remarks and Observations
07:00 - 08:00	Breakfast at Hotel	
08:00 - 09:00	Move to the University/ Institute	
09:00 - 09:15	NBA visiting team to be received by Head of the Institute/Dean	
9.15-10.30	Prepare the exit-meeting statement by PEs and TC	
10.30-11.30	Exit-meeting chaired by TC. Read the exit-meeting statements of all the programmes	
11.30-1.00	Submit visit report and close the visit activity	
1.00-2.00	Lunch	

## **Annexure-VIII**

## **Visit Schedule**

## >>Institute Name and place<<

## >>Date of Visit<<

### Day 0

Time	Programme Evaluators(PEs)	Team Chairperson (TC)
- 17:00	Arrival at Hotel	
18:30 – 20:00	Team meeting: Chaired by TC at Hotel  Review of pre-visit evaluation reports of all Programmes  Identify and discuss issues common to all Programmes	<ul> <li>Introductions: PE and TC at Hotel</li> <li>Collate pre-visit evaluation reports of all programs</li> <li>Finalize the scope/ purpose of meetings scheduled</li> <li>Briefing to PEs on evaluation process during visit followed by Q&amp;A session</li> </ul>
20:00-21:30	Team Dinner	·

Time	Programme Evaluators(PEs)	Team Chairperson (TC)		
07:00 - 08:00	Breakfast at Hotel			
08:00 - 09:00	Move to the University/ Institute			
09:00 - 09:15	NBA visiting team to be received by University/Institutional represen	ntatives		
09:15 - 10:15	Presentation on University/Institution by dean/head of the institution			
	Overview on governance, organizational structure, academic	c infrastructure		
	Institutional financial resources and their effective utilization for continuous quality improvement			
	<ul> <li>Academic support units and their contributions to the programs</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Overview on recent developments in education delivery, mentoring and learning facilities</li> </ul>			
	<ul> <li>Q&amp;A on the issues common to all Programmes</li> <li>Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resources</li> </ul>			
	Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process			
10:15 - 11:15	Tour of basic science and engineering laboratories, language laborat	,		
	Tour of library, hostel facilities, sports facilities and other amenities by Team B*			

	Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional support and Financial Resour Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process *Team A and Team B are constituted by TC with one PE from each p	5
11:30 – 12:00	Observe lecture and tutorial in progress  Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum	Interview with Faculty of mathematics, basic sciences and engineering supporting the programmes under accreditation  Criterion 7: Academic Support Units and Teaching-Learning Process  Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes
12:00 – 13:00	Presentation on Department Overview and UG () programme by Head of the Department / Programme Coordinator  • Programme Educational Objectives, participation of constituents, level of implementation  • Overview on Course content delivery, Course outcome assessment and evaluation methods  • Overview on Assessment and Evaluation of Programme Outcomes  • Curriculum design and revision, and Programme specific criteria  • Academic performance of students, participation in professional activities and their achievements  • Faculty development and research activities  **Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational Objectives  **Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes  **Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum  **Criterion 4: Students' Performance  **Criterion 5: Faculty Contributions	Interview with officers concerned to evaluate:
13:00 – 14:00	Lunch	
14:00 – 15:00	Tour of laboratory facilities, computing facilities, department library etc. relevant to the programme Interview with concerned faculty / staff to evaluate:  • The laboratory facilities to conduct the curricular practical courses  • Availability of adequate technical supporting staff  • Adequacy of well-furnished lecture/tutorial/seminar halls	Check and evaluate the documents pertaining to:

15:00 – 15:30	to run the programme  Criterion 6: Facilities and Technical Support  Review of Final year project report to evaluate their relevance to Programme Outcomes  Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes	Interview with Controller of Examinations: Assessment and Evaluation practices, Auditing process, Grievances and Redressal system  Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes
15:45 – 16:30	Interview with students to evaluate,	Evaluate supporting systems vis-à-vis training and placement and Career Guidance  Criterion 4: Students' Performance
16:30 – 18:00	<ul> <li>Meeting with Programme Coordinator, Course Coordinator etc.</li> <li>Evaluation of Content delivery methods and Course outcomes towards attainment of POs</li> <li>Improvements in the course content, delivery and assessment methods based on level of attainment of COs and POs</li> <li>Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes</li> <li>Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Make a survey visit to programme to ensure consistency and to answer any uncommon issues raised during programme specific evaluation.</li> <li>Meet with Dean/Head of the Institution to discuss the findings of Day-I evaluation.</li> </ul>
18:00 - 19:00	Move back to Hotel	
19:00 – 20:30	Team Dinner	
20:30 – 22:00	<ul> <li>Team meeting: Chaired by TC at Hotel</li> <li>Exchange and discuss about the issues of Day 1 evaluation</li> <li>Discussion between PEs and TC to maintain consistency across all programmes</li> <li>Submit Day 1 draft evaluation report to TC</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>TC chairs the meeting:</li> <li>Provide general guidelines for decision to PEs</li> <li>Check the consistency for all the programmes</li> </ul>

Time	Programme Evaluators(PEs)	Team Chairperson (TC)		
07:00 - 08:00	Breakfast at Hotel			
08:00 - 09:00	Move to the University/ Institute			
09:00 - 09:15	NBA visiting team to be received by Head of the Department/Progra	mme Coordinator		
09:15 - 10:45	Interview with faculty members to evaluate:			
	<ul> <li>Faculty competency against programme specific criteria</li> </ul>	Meeting with the officials concerned to evaluate the		
	<ul> <li>Updating of faculty domain knowledge</li> </ul>	effective functioning of:		
	<ul> <li>Faculty research, consultancy and Knowledge transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Industry-Institute interaction Board</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Documents pertained to faculty profile, faculty</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Institution level Quality Monitoring and Assurance</li> </ul>		
	contributions etc.			
	<ul> <li>Any other issues identified by the PEs related accreditation</li> </ul>	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum		
	criteria	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement		
	Criterion 5: Faculty Contributions			
	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvements			
10:45-11:30	Meeting with programme coordinator/Head of the Department	Meeting with the officials concerned to evaluate the		
	<ul> <li>Documents pertained to student academic performance,</li> </ul>	effective functioning of:		
	student accomplishments etc.	<ul> <li>Institution level Quality Monitoring and Assurance</li> </ul>		
	Criterion 4: Students' Performance	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum		
	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvements	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement		
11:45-12:30	Interview with faculty/Board of studies /advisory board to			
	evaluate	Meeting with Governing Body members to evaluate		
	<ul> <li>Level of involvement of stakeholders in the programme</li> </ul>	Governance, Organisation and decentralization		
	development	Criterion 8: Governance, Institutional support and		
	<ul> <li>Consistency of PEOs with the mission of the department</li> </ul>	Financial Resources		
	<ul> <li>Level of Contributions of industry to programme</li> </ul>			
	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational			
	Objectives			
	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum			
12:30-01:00	Interview with sampled students (academic performance) to	Meeting with the officials concerned to evaluate the		
	evaluate,	effective functioning of:		
	<ul> <li>Level of attainment of knowledge skills and attitudes</li> </ul>	Academic Council		
	Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes	Criterion 3: Programme Curriculum		
	Criterion 4: Students' Performance	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement		
13:00 - 14:00	Lunch			

14.00- 14.45	Meeting with Alumni of the programme (graduates considered for the attainment of PEOs) to evaluate:	Meeting with potential employer/industry to evaluate:  • level of participation	
	<ul> <li>level of participation in the programme after the graduation</li> </ul>	performance of the graduates in their organization	
	<ul> <li>level of attainment of PEOs</li> </ul>	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational	
	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational	Objectives	
	Objectives		
14.45-16.30	Meeting with Programme Coordinator/Head of the Department to evaluate:  • Check on remediation of shortcomings/improvements	<ul> <li>Make a survey visit to programme to ensure consistency and to answer any uncommon issues raised during programme specific evaluation.</li> </ul>	
	from previous accreditation visit		
	<ul> <li>Appropriateness of assessment tools used for POs and PEOs</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Level of attainment of POs and PEOs</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Check all the documents and evidences relevant to the attainment of POs and PEOs</li> </ul>		
	Criterion 9: Continuous Improvement		
	Criterion 1: Vision, Mission and Programme Educational		
	Objectives Criterion 2: Programme Outcomes		
16.30-17.30	<ul> <li>Private meeting of PEs</li> <li>Discussion among PEs for summarizing the observations made during evaluation of day-1 and day-2 vis-à-vis accreditation criteria</li> </ul>	Make a survey visit to programme to ensure consistency and to answer any uncommon issues raised during programme specific evaluation	
17.30-18.00	Meeting with programme coordinator/Head of the Department for any further clarifications	Meeting with Dean/Head of the Institution to discuss the findings of Day-2 evaluation	
18:00 - 19:00	Move back to Hotel		
19:00 – 20:30	Team Dinner		
20:30 – 22:00	Team meeting: Chaired by TC at Hotel	TC chairs the meeting:	
	<ul> <li>Exchange and discuss about the issues of Day 2 evaluation</li> <li>Discussion between PEs and TC to maintain consistency</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Provide general guidelines for decision to PEs</li> <li>Check the consistency for all the programmes</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>across all programmes</li><li>Submit Day 2 draft evaluation report to TC</li></ul>		
	Jabilit Day 2 diait evaluation report to ic		

Time	Programme Evaluators(PEs)	Team Chairperson (TC)
07:00 - 08:00	Breakfast at Hotel	
08:00 - 09:00	Move to the University/ Institute	

09:00 - 09:15	NBA visiting team to be received by Head of the Institute/Dean
9.15-10.30	Prepare the exit-meeting statement by PEs and TC
10.30-11.30	Exit-meeting chaired by TC. Read the exit-meeting statements of all the programmes
11.30-1.00	Submit visit report and close the visit activity
1.00-2.00	Lunch

#### **Annexure-X**

## Form - A

## Feedback Form to be filled by the Institution Regarding Accreditation Visit

#### **Purpose**

This form is designed to have a fair opinion of the team which has visited your institution. This will enable the NBA to improve its system and make it more effective. We thank you in advance for the time and effort you are investing in filling out this form.

1. Na	me of the Institution:				
2. Pro	ogramme(s)				evaluated:
3. Da	te(s) of visit:				
4. Na	me of Chairperson:				
5. Na	mes of Evaluators:				
	1	2	3		
		4	5	6	
		7	8	9	
		10	11	12	
7. Whe	ether the evaluators hav	ve tendered any advid	ce to improve the system	n? If yes, please speci	fy.
(i)	Name of the Evaluat	or:			
(ii)	Advice:				
	ether any of the evaluatecify.	tors were specific abo	out the relevant topics re	elated to the programr	ne? If no, please
	ether the evaluators into s, please specify the na		and faculty in groups or culty.	with students and fac	culty in private? If
	nether the head of the eraction? If yes, please		resentative of the man	agement was also pr	esent during the
(i)	Name of the represe	ntative:			

(i) On whose insistence:

Observation of the representative about interaction:

11. Whether evaluators have been facilitated by the institute for outdoor activity? If yes, please specify.

	(ii)	What activity:	
12.		nether the exit meeting met the purpose i.e., to share the visiting tear servations about the institution and programmes.	m's perceptions and general
13.	Spec	ecify the participants of the exit meeting.	
	Whet	ase comment on the general behaviour of the visiting team (Chairperson an ether hospitality was extended to the visiting team? If yes, please specify the pitality offered.	
			nature of the Head of Institution
		Thank you for your feedback	</td

#### Form - B

## Feedback Form to be filled by the Chairperson about the Institution and Team Members

#### **Purpose**

This form is designed to have a fair opinion about the team members who have assisted you during the visit. This will enable the NBA to improve its system and make it more effective. We thank you in advance for the time and effort you are investing in filling out this form.

1.	Name of the Institution:				
2.	Programme(s)				evaluated
3.	Date (s) of visit:				
4.	Name of Chairperson:				
5.	Name of Evaluators:	1	2		
		4	5	6	
		7	8		
		10	11	12	

- 6. Please comment on the evaluation methodology adopted by the evaluators.
- 7. Whether the evaluator has tendered any advice to improve the system? If yes, please specify.
  - i) Name (s) of the Evaluator:
  - ii) Advice:
- 8. Whether the evaluators were specific about the relevant topics related to the programme? If no, please specify.
- 9. Whether the evaluator interacted with students and faculty in groups or with students and faculty in private? If yes, please specify the name of the students/faculty.
- 10. Whether the evaluator has been facilitated by the institution for outdoor activity? If yes, please specify.
  - i) On whose insistence:
  - ii) What activity:
- 11. Please comment on the general behaviour and etiquette of the evaluators during the visit.
- 12. Please comment on the general behaviour and etiquette of the Head of the Institution/other key officials.
- 13. Please comment on the cooperation and coordination rendered by the institution.

Signature of the Chairperson

## Form - C

# Feedback Form to be filled by the Evaluator about the Institution, Co-evaluator and Chairperson

#### **Purpose**

This form is designed to have a fair opinion about the team members who have assisted you during the visit. This will enable the NBA to improve its system and make it more effective. We thank you in advance for the time and effort you are investing in filling out this form.

ming out this form.			
1. Name of the In	stitution		
2.	Programme	(s)	evaluated
3. Date (s) of visit :			
4. Name of Chairp	erson		
5. Name of Evalua :	tor		
6. Name of Co-Eva	aluator :		
7. Please commen	at on the ability of the chairperson to res	olve disputes, if any, between the	evaluators.
3. Whether the cha	airperson has tendered any advice to im	nprove the system? If yes, please s	specify.
9. Whether the ch	airperson has extended openness with	the evaluators? If no, please speci	ify.
	nairperson has been facilitated by the in	stitute for outdoor activity. If yes, p	lease specify.
<ul><li>i) On whose ins</li><li>ii) What activity</li></ul>			
	ent on the general behaviour and etique	ette of the chairperson during the v	isit.
12. Please comme	ent on the general behaviour and etique	tte of the Head of the Institution / o	ther key officials.
13. Please comme	ent on the general behaviour and etique	tte of the co-evaluator.	
14. Please comme	ent on the cooperation rendered by the o	co-evaluator.	
15. Please comme	ent on the cooperation and coordination	rendered by the institution.	
		Sign	ature of the Evaluator

Thank you for your feedback!

## Form - D

# Feedback Form to be filled by the Chairperson/Evaluator(s) about Service Provider

#### Purpose

This form is a	designed to	have a fair o	pinion about the	Service Pr	ovider hired l	by the NBA.	This will en	nable the N	√BA to i	improve
its system an	d make it m	ore effective.	We thank you in	advance fo	or the time an	d effort you	are investin	g in filling	out this	form.

1. Name of the Institution:					
2. Date	2. Date (s) of visit:				
3. Nan	ne of the Chairperson/Evaluator*:				
4. Nan	ne of the Service Provider:				
Asse	ssment of the Service Provider				
I	How was your overall experience with the service	ce provider?			
II	Please comment on customer service, travel ma	anagement and consulting services.			
III	III Please comment on the travel and lodging requirements met during the visit.				
IV	Please comment on your travel documentation.				
V	Are you satisfied with the service provided by the	e Service Provider? If no, please specify.			
*Please strike out whichever is not applicable Signature of the Chairperson/Evaluator					
Thank you for your feedback!					

## **DECLARATION FORM**

Name and Address of the Institution to be visited:
Name and Address of the Chairperson/Expert Member of the Evaluation Team:
I do hereby declare that I don't have or didn't have had a close or active association with the above institution in any of the following form:-
1. I am neither employed currently nor was employed in the past as faculty, staff or Consultant by the institution;
<ol> <li>I am neither engaged currently nor was engaged in the past in any discussion or negotiation of employment with the institution;</li> </ol>
3. I have never attended the above institution as a student;
4. I have never received an honorary degree from the institution;
<ul><li>5. No close/family relative of mine is a student or employee of the institution</li><li>6. I do not own a membership in the institution's Board of Trustees/Industry Advisory Board.</li></ul>
I hereby declare that I have no conflict of interest in the proposed NBA accreditation assignment at this institution and I will follow the NBA conflict of interest Policies. I shall abide by the code of conduct and will conduct myself in professional manner and uphold the dignity and esteem of the position bestowed upon me.
Name:
Signature:
Date: